to Harris The year will agree well

1st Pebruary, 1943

Deer Lord Keyman,

I have not yet seen the proof mentioned in your letter of January 27th, but am very much obliged to you for sending me the correspondence between yourself and Harrod, which is returned herewith.

evoid the conclusion that the proposed insertion we cannot meet the danger we have in mind, and we feel there is great force in Ha rod's objection to it. Te would, therefore, be most grateful if you would consider the possibility of adopting one of the following alternatives -

- (a) the substitution of Harrod's formula, more or less as it stends, which loss not appear to us to be disproportionate to the public importance of the matter;
- (b) the abendment of any such dause among the details of the quota regulation proposals and the insertion in paragraph 1° of a statement, by way of general principle, on these lines "commod controls would definitely set their faces against any deliberate destruction of food which otherwise might take place locally, whether or not incidentally to recourse of regulation described below; wherever appropriate, they would make arrangements for unavoidable surpluses to be distributed for consumption in necessitous areas."

/ Te

The Tressury, Whitchell, S.W.1.

scoepting one or other of these suggestions, since we feel that a categorical outlawing of destruction in this way would make a strong appeal to the fuericans and would, moreover, at a later stage go a long way to commend the plan to a public which might otherwise be led saily astray in its inferences concerning the purposes and operation of the scheme.

yours sinder ly,

.F. Orio.